

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached drawing is new drawing Figure 4.

A Submittal of Drawing Replacement Sheet(s) is being filed concurrently herewith under a separate cover. For your convenience, a copy of that filing is attached.

Attachment: Annotated Sheets of Drawings Showing Changes
Copy of Submittal of Drawing Replacement Sheets

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claim Amendments

The Applicant has amended claims 1, and 25-40. Applicant respectfully submits no new matter has been added. Accordingly, claims ____ are pending in the application. Favorable reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper disclosure statement. The Applicant is enclosing a proper disclosure statement and copies of the references cited.

Examiner Objections – Drawings

The Drawings were objected to because every feature of the invention is not shown. Figure 4 has been added which illustrate the claimed method steps. The Examiner's approval of the new drawing change is respectfully requested. No new matter has been added.

Examiner Objections – Specification

The specification was objected to because of several informalities. The Applicant thanks the Examiner for his careful review of the specification. In response, the Applicant has modified the specification as suggested by the Examiner. The Examiner's consideration of the amendments to the specification is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 101

Claims 1-16 and 25-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The Applicant has amended the claims to include statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1-16 and 25-40 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The Applicant respectfully submits that the amendments to the claims, the addition of Figure 4 and amendment to the specification regarding Figure 4, have described the invention enough to show that the inventor had possession of the claimed invention at the time the application was filed. The Applicant has corrected the deficiencies in claim 1 and 25 and the Applicants respectfully submit that remaining dependent claims 2-16 and 26-40 are now allowable.

Claims 1-16 and 25-40 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The Applicant respectfully submits that the amendments to the claims, the addition of Figure 4 and amendment to the specification regarding Figure 4, have described the invention in such a way to enable one skilled in the art, to make and or use the invention. The Applicants have corrected the deficiencies in claim 1 and 25 and the Applicants respectfully submit that remaining dependent claims 2-16 and 26-40 are now allowable.

Claims 13 and 37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Applicants have corrected the deficiencies in claims 13 and 37 and the Applicants respectfully submit that remaining dependent claims 13 and 37 are now allowable.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing remarks, the Applicant believes all of the claims currently pending in the Application to be in a condition for allowance. The Applicant, therefore, respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw all rejections and issue a Notice of Allowance for all pending claims.

The Applicant requests a telephonic interview if the Examiner has any questions or requires any additional information that would further or expedite the prosecution of the Application.

Respectfully submitted,



By Sidney L. Weatherford
Registration No. 45,602

Date: March 20, 2008

Ericsson Inc.
6300 Legacy Drive, M/S EVR 1-C-11
Plano, Texas 75024

(972) 583-8656
sidney.weatherford@ericsson.com